

**PUBLIC HEARING and REGULAR SESSION  
of the Daviess County Fiscal Court  
held at the Courthouse in the City of Owensboro,  
County of Daviess, Commonwealth of Kentucky  
on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2013  
Present were Judge/Executive Al Mattingly and  
County Commissioners Jim Lambert,  
George Wathen and Charlie Castlen**

\*\*\*\*\*

**DOCUMENTS RELATED TO TODAY'S DISCUSSION  
ARE FILED IN MAY 2, 2013 FISCAL COURT FILE**

\*\*\*\*\*

Commissioner Castlen opened the meeting in prayer and led the court in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

\*\*\*\*\*

**PUBLIC HEARING**

A public hearing was held to receive comments relating to the closeout documents for the 2012/2013 Community Development Block Grant Funds for Owensboro Regional Recovery (ORR) and the 2013/2014 Community Development Block Grant Funds also for Owensboro Regional Recovery. Barry Johnston of GRADD presented this hearing.

Sarah Adkins of ORR briefed the court on the progress of ORR and thanked the court for their support. She stated, "We have been open a little over three-years and have had about 130 men complete the program. We have recently received a very favorable report on our follow-up study conducted by the University of Kentucky. This is following our clients after they have been gone from our program at least a year. They are doing very well."

Joey Johnson of ORR stated, "This place is very special to me. Three years ago I was a client. I was in the grips of alcoholism and drug addiction. That place saved my life. It is a model that works. It is a pleasure to watch recovery and hope being brought to so many men through what we are doing out there. We appreciate so much this money that has been given to us."

Judge Mattingly asked, "How many of those residents are from Daviess County?"

Ms. Adkins stated, "The majority of our residents, at any given time, are from Daviess County and the GRADD area."

Commissioner Lambert asked how someone gets entered into ORR.

Ms. Adkins stated, "We get referrals from everywhere. We have people who are self-referred. We get referrals through the court-system, hospital, churches, pastors. All they have to do is contact us. However, we do have to talk to the person himself. We cannot take a referral from a family member. We have to actually talk to the person, and we will do a screener that lasts 15-20 minutes over the phone. If the person is appropriate for the program, we will place them on the waiting list. However, our priority is to help homeless people. So, if we find someone who is homeless and needs a place to stay, we get them in absolutely as soon as we can."

Judge Mattingly stated, "So you guys are in the loop in regard to the treatment community."

Ms. Adkins agreed.

Without further comments, said hearing closed.

\*\*\*\*\*

**REGULAR SESSION**

Judge Mattingly proclaimed May 6-10 as Senior Corps week and May as Older American's month.

\*\*\*\*\*

Minutes of the April 18, 2013 meeting were submitted to fiscal court members for review prior to today's meeting and on a motion of Commissioner Castlen, seconded by Commissioner Lambert with all the present court members concurring said Minutes were approved and signed.

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Lambert, seconded by Commissioner Castlen, the court considered approval of all Claims for all Departments.**

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All present court members voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Castlen, seconded by Commissioner Wathen, the court considered for approval the Closeout Documents for the 2012/2013 Owensboro Regional Recovery Community Development Block Grant.**

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Lambert, seconded by Commissioner Wathen, the court considered for approval to Apply for the 2013/2014 Community Development Block Grant Funds for Owensboro Regional Recovery, and authorize the Judge/Executive to sign and submit any and all documents related to said grant.**

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Wathen, seconded by Commissioner Lambert, the court considered for approval the following Resolutions regarding the 2013/2014 Community Development Block Grant Funds for Owensboro Regional Recovery.**

- **No. 04-2013** – Procurement Policy
- **No. 05-2013** – Displacement
- **No. 06-2013** – Purpose of funds
- **No. 07-2013** – Stating that a Professional Contract is in place

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Castlen, seconded by Commissioner Wathen, the court considered for approval to Advertise for the following Annual Bids:**

- **No. 07-2013** Electrical, Plumbing, A/C, & Parking Lot Maintenance Services
- **No. 08-2013** Concrete, Ready-mix
- **No. 09-2013** Bulk Delivered Fuels
- **No. 10-2013** Crushed Limestone, Sand, and River Gravel

- **No. 11-2013** Culvert Pipe
- **No. 12-2013** Equipment Rental
- **No. 13-2013** Pavement Striping
- **No. 14-2013** Grinding Services for Solid Waste

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Wathen, seconded by Commissioner Lambert,** the court considered for approval to Declare as surplus a 1980 Ford Tractor/Truck R 806, VIN # R80UVGA5820.

Judge Mattingly asked, "Once we declare this surplus, what will we do with it?"

David Smith replied, "We will probably call and get the best price for it as scrap metal."

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Lambert, seconded by Commissioner Castlen,** the court considered for approval to Purchase a Replacement Kubota RTV for the Daviess County Parks Department.

Ross Leigh stated, "We have been operating three Kubota RTV's at our facilities for a number of years. Within the last 14-days, we have had two of those RTV's go down, one with the engine and the other with the transmission. We have been able to take the good engine and the good transmission and make one good RTV. We are asking the court to consider the replacement of one RTV totaling \$11,520 from Hagan Equipment. This is an unbudgeted item."

Commissioner Lambert asked, "Are you saying, it will still come out of your total budget – out of another line item in your budget or an additional sum of money from the court?"

Mr. Leigh stated, "It would be from the court."

Jim Hendrix stated, "There are a few lines where there will be excess money. It will not come out of his, but it does not require an amendment. It will be done simply as a budget transfer if the court approves the purchase."

Mr. Ross explained, "The RTV's replaced the John Deere 6-wheel Gator 8 to 9 years ago. We are able to use these throughout our system to be able to haul equipment (such as mowers and weed eaters), pull small trailers, access points on the park a pickup truck would not be able to, and haul gear to clean out restrooms. It just expedites our process of being able to get over a 100+ acre park at any one given time."

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Wathen, seconded by Commissioner Lambert,** the court considered for approval to Contract with Premiere Mobile Cinema for the July 3<sup>rd</sup> Family Freedom Fireworks Festival at Panther Creek Park.

Mr. Leigh stated, "After our fireworks are over, there is a great sum of people who care to be able to leave the park or in the past have left the park, and it creates quite a bit of a headache on our two-lane county road system around Panther Creek. It was a couple of years ago that we

contracted with Premiere Mobile Cinema to provide some family entertainment on a big screen television following the fireworks. It provides people something to do while the general public may elect to be able to leave. We have stuck with Premiere Mobile Cinema at \$1,500. That is an existing amount that we have paid them for a couple of years. Swank Motion Pictures is a separate company that we end up having to get our DVD from, essentially because of copyright laws."

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Castlen, seconded by Commissioner Wathen,** the court considered for approval the 2013 Concessions Contract for Panther Creek Park.

Mr. Leigh stated, "Before you is a contract for the operation of concessions at Panther Creek Park by the Owensboro Daviess County Babe Ruth League. They have been in operation with the concession stand for more than 11-years. We have been in the business of operating concession as a court in the past and have had quite a few hotdogs and soft drinks leave the concession stand. It was very difficult to be able to retain enough employees. This year, we would entertain the option of signing the contract again with the Owensboro Daviess County Babe Ruth League at a rate of \$15.00 per day so long as the concession stand is open for at least one hour. There is only one change in this year's contract. This change is in regard to the deposit. Last year, this was \$50.00. This year, it is \$500.00. We have had some issues with regard to obtaining the \$15.00 per day fee. What we have done is to be able to collect \$500.00 up front. This past season we collected, I want to say, it was somewhere around \$580.00 for the 2012 operation. However, in years past, we have collected over \$1,200 when we have had the big tournaments in 2007/2008. Again, I think it helps us to move in the right direction and have that security in mind."

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**By a motion of Commissioner Lambert, seconded by Commissioner Castlen,** the court considered for approval to Advertise for a Fleet Management Mechanic in the Department of Public Works.

Judge Mattingly stated, "We do have a vacancy. As you know, there has been some change in the retirement system, effective January 1, 2014. We had talked about putting on a hiring freeze. This is one of those positions that we thought maybe we could do, but in talking with the engineer, he has convinced me that it will affect the operations. Therefore, I believe we can go ahead and hire this person. Mark, I think you are going to tell us that it is pretty difficult to find a good maintenance mechanic."

Mark Brasher stated, "This is a position that has been open on and off for about a year. We have had some issues retaining a mechanic. We are at a point now where we are starting to lose some ground with keeping machines up and running. I wish we could have held off, but at this point we have a supervisor that is doing his job and the work of a mechanic."

Judge Mattingly stated, "And the maintenance department provides fleet maintenance for the entire county fleet, including the volunteer fire department."

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Other business to be brought before the Daviess County Fiscal Court:**

**By a motion of Commissioner Castlen, seconded by Commissioner Wathen,** the court considered for approval to Release a Fire Hydrant Bond for the Ann H. Greer Estate.

**Without further discussion, Judge/Executive Mattingly called for a vote on the motion. All members of the court voted in favor; motion passed.**

**Comments:**

Executive Director of the CVB Karen Porter announced upcoming community events and encouraged the public to visit the CVB's website for scheduled events.

John Allen stated, "I reside at 3731 Strike The Gold Court. As you well know, we are in the newspaper quite frequently, and given the most recent events, I wanted to make sure I understand the process that you all are going through in regards to the animal ordinance that you are reviewing and possibly modifying. Looking back at past records it looks to me that that is something that requires a first and second reading in the public's eye. Is that correct?"

Judge Mattingly stated, "If we would make a change to an ordinance that would be the case. That is correct."

Mr. Allen stated, "The reason why I bring that up is because it seems to me that that is normal procedure, and I have a little bit of a concern about procedures. We feel like we have been targeted over the past couple of years. I will first say that despite our neighbor's claims that there has not been any response by the state nor the county, we can attest that that is far from the truth. Over the past two years, we have had visits from the Daviess County Sheriff's Department, Daviess County Health Department, Kentucky State Police, and Daviess County Animal Control. They have done their due diligence. I want to commend them on when the neighbors complained they have come out, done their assessments, and come back with the facts that we are doing nothing wrong. This leads me to something that we have sort of been sitting on because we have been in the defensive mode. We feel like we need to bring this forward to everybody's attention that what is going on is that there was something out of order or out of character. I am referring to a complaint by one of our neighbors, Earl Henderson, back in July 18, 2012. On July 20, a compliance officer from the Daviess County Animal Control visited our place, that was the second visit, and Wayne McElvain was the compliance officer, and he did his due diligence. He looked at the situation, and told us verbally that there was nothing that we were doing wrong. These complaints of an odor and nuisance of dogs barking, howling, whatever that case may be from that case, that there was nothing there, that there was no claim – no justification to the claim. We figured that that was all good and well. Then, by surprise, on August 15, we get a letter of a criminal complaint for this same complaint that was made by one of our neighbors on 7/18/2012. I am trying to understand how that happens. How does that process work where we are shown and told verbally by an officer that there is nothing wrong, yet we get charged with a misdemeanor? This was later just basically wiped out after we went through many hours of sitting in a courtroom along with other traffic offenders and other misdemeanors. Come to find out that Ms. Clark who runs that group was contacted by Mr. Porter's prosecutor and said we have no claim so while she files this complaint then she comes back and says we have no complaint. I don't understand the process and would like to have some clarification on that."

County Attorney Porter stated, "The process is that anyone can come in and file a complaint concerning anyone else. We get those by the hundreds each week. We make decisions on whether any or each of those meets the constitutional requirement of probable cause. Then each of the claimant and the respondent, in this instance, you, have an opportunity to be heard in front of the court. And you were heard apparently..."

Mr. Allen interrupted stating, "No we were not heard. It was dismissed even before we got to it."

Mr. Porter stated, "I don't think so. I think I at least talked to one of you all before it was ever – it had to get into court. It had to come through us before it could be dismissed."

Mr. Allen stated, "It was done back in lawyer chambers."

Mr. Porter, "That is correct. So you were heard."

Mr. Allen stated, "I was not present. I had a lawyer that was representing us."

Mr. Porter stated, "Okay. I am not sure what your complaint is then."

Mr. Allen stated, "Well, my point is, if that affiant was Ashley Clark. So she filed the complaint. But her department, a month prior, said there was nothing wrong in relation to this one, because she states that on 7/18, the above named defendant unlawfully committed these two counts: violation of animal ordinance 1010.6..."

Mr. Porter interrupted stating, "That is not the same as a noise complaint."

Mr. Allen continued, "...Which is section 4, disturbing the peace and section 4.4, offensive odors. There were two counts related to that. And those are the same two complaints that are on the case that I have here as well as from 7/20, which is also another interesting date of way things went when I ask for an open records request on these – the date that these were created was on 10/1/12. There was not even a report filed until after we asked for the report. So there are a lot of things out of sequence here."

Mr. Porter stated, "I am not sure. I would have to see both of those complaints and the open records request. You may be getting one answer from one thing and one from another."

Judge Mattingly stated, "If you would provide Mr. Porter with a list of your concerns, he will answer your concerns."

Mr. Porter stated, "As far as you saying you were not being heard, if your lawyer had asked for a hearing, you would certainly have had that hearing. They requested or we dismissed it at their request as a result of that. If you wanted a hearing, that could have been done, and we can still provide that now. We can re-file those, so you can have a hearing."

Mr. Allen stated, "No sir. My question was is that I was looking for the proceeding of order. Everything seems to be out of sequence here."

Mr. Porter stated, "There is a complaint filed. You are served with a complaint. You go to court. The court has a determination. One, the first time you appear is an arraignment. An arraignment says I plead not guilty – I did not do it. You have a pre-trial hearing where you meet with the prosecutor and the complaining witness..."

Mr. Allen interrupted stating, "That is where we were dismissed was at the pretrial."

Mr. Porter asked, "But I thought you said there was about four times where you went to court."

Mr. Allen stated, "No, I said several hours were involved."

Mr. Porter stated, "Those are the times where we would meet, and you were given that opportunity, and we had the complaining witness, and we made the decision about how to proceed from that point."

Mr. Allen stated, "Again, I am just really confused about the same complaint because the witness to this criminal complaint was the same witness, and they referenced the same complaint that was phoned in both the criminal complaint as well as..."

Mr. Porter interrupted stating, "If you would like to bring those in the office, I would be happy to go through them and go over them with you."

Mr. Allen stated, "Judge, I just wanted you to know that I was concerned, based upon the Wednesday, April 24<sup>th</sup> article. There were several comments that you had made that looked like you are targeting us. We are disturbed about that."

Judge Mattingly stated, "There is no intent to target. The intent is to respond to concerns by citizens in the community. One of the issues that we have – when we have a concern and when we have a complaint, we have to respond. Now, you talked in terms of you were told you were doing nothing wrong, and that is correct according to the current law. All along, I think, as those cases were dismissed, you were well within the law. The question is does the animal ordinance that we currently have reflect the changing nature in the county, particularly in subdivisions where you have quarter and half acre lots and where you are raising livestock. I know that you went in front of the planning and zoning appeals board and successfully plead a case regarding the sheep that are pets. According to the law and according to the ordinance, they rightly chose the proper course of action. That does not mitigate though the fact that you are in a very high-density subdivision. The same types of subdivisions that are within the city limits of Owensboro. Within the city limits of Owensboro where you have a high-density of housing they do not allow livestock of any sort. So the question is, and we have had several complaints and not just – understand that the court is not targeting just you. I think I can speak for the court, we all have had complaints from other people within the Daviess County community in particular those who live in a residential community regarding keeping livestock. Our concern and the task that we have before us now is to look at whether or not there needs to be a change in that ordinance. We want to go very slow. I am sure your next-door neighbor, Mr. Henderson believes that the last two-years was slow enough and we should go a lot faster. But we want to go very slow because we do not want to have a knee jerk reaction and pass an amendment to an ordinance that effects a lot of people in ways that we really don't understand. That is why we are looking at it from several different issues. We are looking at it through the county attorney's office, zoning, and animal control. Your question originally, anything that we would do would be done publicly. There would be a first and second reading of the ordinance and I suspect if we do what we should, we have an animal control advisory board we would pass that ordinance to before we would bring it to the court. I think you would have ample opportunity to comment. You would have ample opportunity for input. You would have ample opportunity to bring other people who rescue animals or keep livestock as pets. Just as those who feel as strongly as you do in this direction feel that strongly in the other direction. I am sure this courtroom will be full, if we do anything."

Kristin Allen stated, "Would we be grandfathered in?"

Judge Mattingly stated, "You would not. I say that, but I do not know. Here is why I am saying that. If there is a problem in a subdivision and we grandfather-in all of those people who are in those subdivisions, then we still have not remedied the situation. We still have, for a lack of a better term, an open sore that is festering within the neighborhood. I think, what you would be given is ample opportunity to restructure the care for those animals or to transfer their care somewhere else. We would not pass an ordinance and the next week – you have to get rid of them."

Ms. Allen asked, "What kind of time frame are we talking about?"

Judge Mattingly stated, "With this ordinance, we are working on it now. I would be remiss if I gave you a timeframe. Since we have become involved in local government, we have found that government goes a whole lot slower than even we like for it to go sometimes. In an effort to involve and be collaborative, it is hard to get everybody in, and once you get them in to address issues and concerns. Then to come together with an ordinance that would reflect what we think is necessary, and then we pass it to the county attorney who then would review it for the document's legality. If he does not like it, he would come back, and pass it to the animal control officer who gives us advice and guidance on matters regarding animal control. So, I would tell you, right now, with all the other things we have on the board, I would think probably this fall before we would be actively looking at anything."

Ms. Allen stated, "I guess what bothers me through this whole thing is that everybody keeps on throwing out these accusations, and we have been plastered all over the newspaper, which is absolutely ridiculous. If we are the most exciting thing happening in Owensboro, dear God, you all need more to do, because we are like the most boring family in the world. We feel like we were being targeted, because when one thing did not work - when animal control was called and animal control came out for the first time, and they said that we had no violations, then Ashley asked Wayne to come out on the 18<sup>th</sup> of July, and he said to me, "*You are doing nothing wrong – your neighbors need to get a grip, and if I get a call about this again, I am not coming back out.*". So, we are thinking that we are free and clear. Because of the noise thing and the smell, you know, it was not getting them anywhere. Then they were afraid the dogs were going to come across the fence. So, it just kept escalating. And when that did not work, they called the game warden because we rescue and rehabilitate wildlife. The game warden came out and said that we were doing nothing wrong."

Judge Mattingly stated, "Let me speak to that. In the eyes of that game warden – but he does not write local ordinances. He may give you a permit or a license to maintain wildlife, but if a local ordinance says you cannot maintain wildlife or you cannot have wildlife then he can say you are doing nothing wrong in the eyes of the federal government, but there are local ordinances that are in place. If we had an animal control person say that to you directly, then he misspoke, because if we receive a complaint we would send an animal control officer out to check or if a neighbor called the sheriff with a noise or nuisance complaint, I would dare to say the sheriff would have to send someone out. We have to do that."

Ms. Allen stated, "We are not bothering anyone with what we do. I actually finally invited the newspaper out, because they kept calling. When we won the appeal, we did not want to gloat. We just wanted to live our lives and do what we do. It may not be what everyone else does. Someone probably would not have paid \$900 for acupuncture so that a lamb could walk, because, you know what, farmers would have just hit it over the head and it would have been dead. But that is just what we do. The first thing I did when the newspaper reporter came out was that we walked around the outside of the fence. I asked them if they smelled anything and the reporter said no, she did not. I said and that is what the neighbors would be smelling. I also said, we are not doing anything wrong. Yes, we rescue and rehabilitate wildlife. Yes, we have three sheep, and actually, they make a lot better pets than dogs sometimes. We feel like we get no peace in our life, and is that fair? Is that fair that we leave for church at 9:45 in the morning and the neighbor calls the state police at 10:00 a.m.? You all said a prayer before this meeting and the thing of it is just like if more people would be in church they would not have had time to call the state police when a family is walking into church."

Judge Mattingly stated, "I appreciate that sentiment. What I am going to ask is that you have some specific questions that you have for the county attorney if you would bring those questions – either contact Claud and perhaps sit down with a meeting or bring those questions to him. He will be happy to answer those. I can assure you that the newspaper and media has, as you said, if we have nothing better to do than to talk about having sheep in a backyard we need to get a life."

Mr. Allen stated, "My wife and son have been doing wildlife rehab and things even with domestic animals for several years now. It has gotten to a point now where my son is going to make a career out of this. He is going into zoology. This is his passion, and it is not something he is just doing. He is getting an education by taking care of these animals. When we go to him and say that you have to stand up for what you believe in. That is why we are continuing to battle this thing, because we could have easily gotten rid of the sheep. There are many places for them to go. However, that would not be sticking to our convictions as to when we think we are doing something right and doing something good for animals then we need to stay with the course. We intend to stay the course, but we will not break the law."

Ms. Allen stated, "Our son is getting his zoology degree at KWC. Last night, we have some baby raccoons at our house right now. There have been claims that we are running a petting zoo, well when you have a baby that has to take a bottle, you have to form a bond with it or it will not take a bottle from you. My son was up every three hours last night. He is 18-years-old,

feeding these raccoons. There is commitment there. You know, he is not out running around. He is not causing trouble. He is not playing Xbox. He is a teenager doing good things. It is sad that people have to try and ruin it."

Commissioner Lambert stated, "I would like to publically thank Jerome Hamilton of Knottsville for being involved in signing up people for county water. I think they had 300 people at that time and now I think they have close to 4,000 people on east Daviess County water. Jerome has been an effective leader in that area for many years, and is sort of stepping down and turning his responsibilities over to some other people. I would like to thank Jerome for his effort in helping those people obtain county water."

Commissioner Castlen stated, "I want to thank everyone who has expressed their condolences to myself and my family on my mother's passing. There have been lots of prayers offered up for my family and random acts of kindness. On behalf of my family, I appreciate that very much.

Commissioner Castlen stated, "Today was the 62<sup>nd</sup> annual National Day of Prayer in Daviess County and throughout our nation. It is always a very worthwhile event to attend.

Judge Mattingly stated, "Regarding waterlines, we do have money set aside (approximately \$300,000) to help pay a portion of distribution lines. There are 52 unserved residences in Daviess County."

Judge Mattingly read into record a letter he received which reads:

*The Owensboro-Daviess County Veterans Organizations Committee elected the following board of officers on April 18, 2013:*

- *Chairman Terry Stinson*
- *Vice Chair Pam Smith Wright*
- *Secretary Billy Marcum*
- *Treasurer Jim Huff*
- *Chaplain Frank McDaniel*
- *Publicity Dir. Michelle McKinney*
- *Auditor Lou Drawdy*
- *Community Service Dir. Linda Drawdy*

*These individuals will each serve a one-year term beginning July 1, 2013 and expire June 30, 2014. We ask the Daviess County Fiscal Court to please approve and recognize these duly elected officers. Sincerely, Terry Stinson, Chairman*

Judge Mattingly stated, "Jenni, I did not know that we did that as a court."

Fiscal Court Clerk Jenni Warren replied, "I am not aware that we do, Judge."

Judge Mattingly stated, "I do not know that there is any opposition by anyone up here for them and their officers so I don't know that we need a resolution at all to do that, would you say? If we find out to the contrary then we can place it on the next meeting's agenda."

Judge Mattingly announced that the United States Postal Service is hosting their annual Stamp Out Hunger Food Drive on Saturday, May 11 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. at the Salvation Army on Ewing Road. Volunteers are welcome and citizens are encouraged to donate non-perishable food items by setting their items out by their mailbox on the morning of May 11.

Judge Mattingly also recognized the Daviess County Fiscal Court May 2013 Employee Anniversary of Transfer Station Solid Waste Equipment Operator Timothy R. McDaniel, 15 years.

**Without objection, Judge/Executive Mattingly adjourned the meeting.  
SO ORDERED THAT COURT STAND ADJOURNED.**

---

Al Mattingly  
Daviess County Judge/Executive

